- ³/₄ There is limited research on how consumers are determining the nutritional value of a food product and/or use available nutrition information to select foods (Grunert & Wills, 2007; Williams, 2005).
- ³/₄ To avoid confusion, food labeling systems need to be simplified (Chiuve, Sampson, & Willett, 2011).
- ³/₄ The NuVal® Nutritional Profiling System provides a simplified nutrition scoring guide for consumers, generating scores from 1 to 100, with a higher number indicating a higher nutritional value in a product (Katz, Njike, Rhee, Reingold, & Ayoob, 2010).
- ³/₄ Understanding nutrition food labels is important to help consumers follow the government's general dietary guidelines, which are 1.5 to 2 cup equivalents of fruits and 2 to 3 cup equivalents of vegetables (Rothman et al., 2006; NCI, 2015).
- ³/₄ Canned food consumption may be a viable, nutritious option for cost-conscious individuals to meet required amounts of fruits and vegetables all year-round (Miller & Knudson, 2014).

Can students accurately determine the healthiest canned food when asked to choose their preference from common canned fruits and vegetables?

- ³/₄ H0 1: There is no significant difference in mean percent correct score by type of canned food (canned fruits vs. canned vegetables).
- ³/₄ H0 2: There is no significant difference in mean percent correct score by gender of the respondent (by type of canned food).
- ³/₄ H0 3: There is no significant difference in mean percent correct score by age of the respondent (by type of canned food).
- ³/₄ H0 4: There is no significant difference in mean percent correct score by academic major of the respondent (by type of canned food).
- ³/₄ H0 5: There is no significant difference in mean percent correct score by income of the respondent (by type of canned food).

Sample Population (n=190)

- Convenience sampling
- Undergraduate students from general education courses offered at California State University Long Beach (CSULB) in fall 2017
 - Introductory Nutrition (n= 5 sections)
 - Consumer Affairs (n= 4 sections)

- Implications
- - ³⁄₄ Quick

 - ¾ Easy

Public Health, 15(5), 385-399.

I would like to thank DrA. A S m A r i u - 4 6 . 4 1 6 m S

 $\frac{3}{4}$ It is uncertain that consumers are able to use the available nutrition information on product labels and interpret them correctly to select healthier canned food options.

³/₄ Being unable to properly interpret nutrition values of these canned products can result to poor food choices.

³/₄ This researchstudy was similar to other studies that have questioned consumers' ability to comprehend and interpret food labels (Cowburn & Stockley, 2005; Gorton, Ni Mhurchu, Chen, & Dixon, 2009; Kim & Kim, 2009; Roberto et al., 2012)

³/₄ Further research iswarranted to show trends in accuracy of choice of nutritious canned food items among gender, age, academic major, and income groups of college students.

³/₄ More research studies are needed to understand the associations of nutrition knowledge, judgment accuracy, and food choices among consumers.

³/₄ It is difficult to pinpoint specific nutrients and compare the nutritional value of food products.

³/₄ Everyonecan benefit the NuVal® Nutritional Scoring System

³/₄ Simple (from 0-100)

³/₄ Convenient

³/₄ NuVal® helps consumers make decisions with confidence in navigating and selecting healthier food options.

(9), 1359-1365.

Grunert, K., & Wills, J. (2007). A review of European research on consumer response to nutrition information on food labels *Journal of* Katz, D., K (r)0.8 (i)-5.6 (t)231.3 j Put &r(K)-d>BDC -0.07-1,,s.LKt ReJ68 0 TdJ68(b)5 -2 Pub (n3 ()8.5 (&) -0.0A(2)(r(K)-d>BDC -0.07-J68.7 &195 Td